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Organisation of the lectures

1. Univariate optimisation

- Bisection
- Steepest Descent
- Newton's method

2. Multivariate optimisation

- Steepest descent and line-search methods:
- Wolfe and Armijo conditions,
- Newton's method, Trust-region methods,
- Conjugate Gradient, Truncated Newton's, Quasi-Newton methods,

3. Constrained Optimisation:

- Projected gradient methods,
- Penalty methods,
- Exterior and interior point methods, SQP

4. Adjoint methods

- Reversing time
- Automatic Differentiation
- Adjoint CFD codes


## Outline
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## Tank design

Properties of an open-topped tank with height $x_{1}$, sides $x_{2}, x_{3}$ :
Notes
$\begin{array}{llrl} & \text { Volume of a tank: } & & V=x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} \\ \text { Surface: } & & S=2 x_{1} x_{2}+2 x_{1} x_{3}+x_{2} x_{3}\end{array}$

Minimise $\quad S$
(3)

Constrained optimisation:
Minimise $\quad S \quad$ subject to $\quad V=V^{*}$
(4)

We can express this constraint by eliminating one of the variables,

$$
x_{3}=V^{*} x_{1}^{-1} x_{2}^{-1}
$$

Unconstrained optimisation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Min} \quad S=2 x_{1} x_{2}+2 V^{*} x_{2}^{-1}+V^{*} x_{1}^{-1} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Univariate tank design

To simplify the problem further, assume a square base, $x_{2}=x_{3}$.
Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
V & =x_{1} x_{2}^{2} \\
S & =4 x_{1} x_{2}+x_{2}^{2} \\
\text { or with } V=V^{*}: \quad S & =4 V^{*} x_{2}^{-1}+x_{2}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Optimality conditions

From simple calculus: a local minimum exists for $F(x)$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d F}{d x}=F^{\prime}(x)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{d^{2} F}{d x^{2}}=F^{\prime \prime}(x)>0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (??) is satisfied for $x=x^{*}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x) \geq F\left(x^{*}\right) \text { for all } x \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $x^{*}$ is a global minimum.
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## The bisection method

Simple (but inefficient) idea: given a bracketing interval, i.e. it contains a minimum, $a \leq x^{*} \leq b$, successively half the interval around the minimum (see Bartholomew-Biggs, section 2.2).
set $x_{a}=a, x_{b}=b$
do
compute $F_{a}=F\left(x_{a}\right), F_{b}=F\left(x_{b}\right)$
set $x_{M}=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{a}+x_{b}\right), x_{l}=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{a}+x_{M}\right), x_{r}=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{M}+x_{b}\right)$
compute $F_{l}=F\left(x_{l}\right),, F_{m}=F\left(x_{m}\right), F_{r}=F\left(x_{r}\right)$
compute $F_{\text {min }}=\min \left\{F_{a}, F_{l}, F_{m}, F_{r}, F_{b}\right\}$
if $\quad F_{\min }=F_{a}$ or $F_{\min }=F_{l}$ then $x_{b}=x_{M}$,
else if $\quad F_{\text {min }}=F_{m} \quad$ then $\quad x_{a}=x_{l}, x_{b}=x_{r}$,
else
$x_{a}=x_{M}$.
while $\left|x_{b}-x_{a}\right| \geq \varepsilon$

Bisection on tank design problem I


Bisection on tank design problem II

$$
\min S=4 V^{*} x_{2}^{-1}+x_{2}^{2} \quad \text { with } \quad V^{*}=5
$$

| iter | $\times \mathrm{A}$ | xL | xM | $\times \mathrm{R}$ | xB | fmin |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1, | 1.0000 | 2.0000 | 3.0000 | 4.0000 | 5.000000 | 14.000000 |
| 2, | 1.0000 | 1.5000 | 2.0000 | 2.5000 | 3.000000 | 14.000000 |
| 3, | 1.5000 | 1.7500 | 2.0000 | 2.2500 | 2.500000 | 13.951389 |
| 4, | 2.0000 | 2.1250 | 2.2500 | 2.3750 | 2.500000 | 13.927390 |
| 5, | 2.0000 | 2.0625 | 2.1250 | 2.1875 | 2.250000 | 13.927390 |
| 6, | 2.0625 | 2.0938 | 2.1250 | 2.1562 | 2.187500 | 13.924776 |
| 7, | 2.1250 | 2.1406 | 2.1562 | 2.1719 | 2.187500 | 13.924776 |
| 8, | 2.1406 | 2.1484 | 2.1562 | 2.1641 | 2.171875 | 13.924776 |
| 9, | 2.1484 | 2.1523 | 2.1562 | 2.1602 | 2.164062 | 13.924776 |
| 10, | 2.1523 | 2.1543 | 2.1562 | 2.1582 | 2.160156 | 13.924767 |
| 11, | 2.1523 | 2.1533 | 2.1543 | 2.1553 | 2.156250 | 13.924767 |
| 12, | 2.1533 | 2.1538 | 2.1543 | 2.1548 | 2.155273 | 13.924767 |

## Properties of the bisection method

- User has to specify the initial bracketing interval $x_{A} \leq x \leq x_{B}$ which needs to contain a minimum, although there are algorithms for this (see $B-B, 2.2$ ).
- The algorithm finds any minimum in the bracket, not necessarily the lowest minimum in the bracket
- Convergence to the optimum is rather slow and depends on the width of the initial bracket and the sought width of the final bracket $\varepsilon$ :

$$
N \geq \frac{\log _{10}\left(x_{B}-x_{A}\right)+\log _{10} \varepsilon}{\log _{10}(2)}
$$

- The bisection-method is 'gradient-free', we do not need to compute gradients for it.


## Outline

## The secant method

Again, start from a bracketing interval but use gradient information to estimate the location of the minimum in the bracket.
Bracketing implies here that $x_{a}<x_{b}, F^{\prime}\left(x_{a}\right)<0, F^{\prime}\left(x_{b}\right)>0$ and $F^{\prime \prime}>0$. Also, assume $F$ is twice continuously differentiable.
set $x_{1}=a, x_{2}=b$
compute $F_{1}^{\prime}=F^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right), F_{2}^{\prime}=F^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right)$
set $k=2$
do
set $k=k+1$
! Use linear interpolation to find $F^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)=0$ using $x_{k-1}, x_{k-2}$
set $x_{k}=x_{k-2}-\frac{F_{k-2}^{\prime}}{F_{k-1}^{\prime}-F_{k-2}^{\prime}}\left(x_{k-1}-x_{k-2}\right)$
compute $F_{k}^{\prime}=F^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)$
while $\left|F_{k}^{\prime}\right| \geq \varepsilon$

Secant method on tank example
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Secant method on tank example

| iter | $x_{k-2}$ | $x_{k-1}$ | $x$ | $f^{\prime}(k)$ | $f(k)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3, | 1.0000 | 5.0000 | 3.647059 | 5.790476 | 18.784909 |
| 4, | 5.0000 | 3.6471 | 1.349327 | -8.286233 | 16.642888 |
| 5, | 3.6471 | 1.3493 | 2.701883 | 2.664106 | 14.702418 |
| 6, | 1.3493 | 2.7019 | 2.372820 | 1.193416 | 14.059064 |
| 7, | 2.7019 | 2.3728 | 2.105796 | -0.298623 | 13.931973 |
| 8, | 2.3728 | 2.1058 | 2.159240 | 0.028765 | 13.924836 |
| 9, | 2.1058 | 2.1592 | 2.154544 | 0.000655 | 13.924767 |
| 10, | 2.1592 | 2.1545 | 2.154434 | -0.000001 | 13.924767 |
| 11, | 2.1545 | 2.1544 | 2.154435 | 0.000000 | 13.924767 |

Alternatives for the computation of $x_{k}$

The example computed $x_{k}$ from $x_{k-1}, x_{k-2}$, regardless of how the iterates fell around the minimum. Alternatively we could also memorise $x_{k-3}$ once $k>3$ and for the oldest point

- choose whichever $x_{k-2}, x_{k-3}$ gives the smaller $\left|F^{\prime}\right|$ (choose the point closer to the minimum),
- choose $x_{k-2}, x_{k-3}$ to have the sign of $F^{\prime}$ opposite to $F_{k-1}^{\prime}$ (choose the point to bracket the minimum and interpolate rather than extrapolate).
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## Alternatives for the computation of $x_{k}$

| iter | $x_{k-2}$ | $x_{k-1}$ | $x$ | $f^{\prime}(k)$ | $f(k)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| chronological: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9, | 2.1058 | 2.1592 | 2.154544 | 0.000655 | 13.924767 |
| 10, | 2.1592 | 2.1545 | 2.154434 | -0.000001 | 13.924767 |
| 11, | 2.1545 | 2.1544 | 2.154435 | 0.000000 | 13.924767 |
| smallest gradient (a): |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9, | 2.2177 | 2.1623 | $2.154208 ;$ | -0.001363 | 13.924767 |
| 10, | 2.1623 | 2.1542 | $2.154436 ;$ | 0.000005 | 13.924767 |
| 11, | 2.1542 | 2.1544 | $2.154435 ;$ | 0.000000 | 13.924767 |
| bracketing (b): |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9, | 2.2177 | 2.1399 | $2.154859 ;$ | 0.002547 | 13.924767 |
| 10, | 2.2177 | 2.1549 | $2.154422 ;$ | -0.000074 | 13.924767 |
| 11, | 2.1549 | 2.1544 | $2.154435 ;$ | 0.000000 | 13.924767 |

## Properties of the secant method

- Needs computation of gradients,
- Works with first derivatives only, could converge to a maximum if the assumption that $F^{\prime \prime}>0$ in $[a, b]$ is violated,
- Converges better than the bisection method,
- Flexibility in how to choose $x_{k}$ based on $x_{k-1}, x_{k-2}, .$. ,
- Can be generalised to multi-variate problems, is the basis for some important methods such as steepest-descent and BFGS.


## Higher-order secant methods

- The secant method as described performs linear interpolation on the gradient values at the end of the bracketing interval: hence it reconstructs a quadratic.
- However, with $F_{k-1}, F_{k-2}$ and $F_{k-1}^{\prime}, F_{k-2}^{\prime}$ we have 4 pieces of data, so we could reconstruct a cubic.
- Using also the new value $F k$ and $F^{\prime} k$ we have 6 pieces of data, so we could reconstruct a quintic.
- Higher-order polynomial fits exhibit strong oscillations as the polynomial is forced to interpolate the data points, rather than approximate them. So in practice, use higher-order only if the function is found to be locally uni-modal
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## Newton's method

The Newton-Raphson method (actually due to Simpson in this form) finds zeroes of function by using Taylor expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=F(x+h)=F(x)+h F^{\prime}(x)+\frac{1}{2} h^{2} F^{\prime \prime}(x)+O\left(h^{3}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (??) w.r.t. h allows us to find zeroes of the gradient.

$$
0=F^{\prime}(x+h)=F^{\prime}(x)+h F^{\prime \prime}(x)+\frac{1}{2} h^{2} F^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)+O\left(h^{3}\right)
$$

After neglecting higher terms and using $x=x_{k}, x_{k+1}=x_{k}+h$

$$
x_{k+1}=x_{k}-\frac{F^{\prime}(x)}{F^{\prime \prime}(x)}
$$

## Interpretation of Newton's method

Newton's method can be interpreted as using the tangent of the gradient to find the zero of the gradient.


Gradient of the tank surface $S: d S / d x_{2}$.

## Convergence of Newton's method

Assuming that we are in a close neighbourhood of the minimum of a continuous and differentiable function, i.e.

- the second derivative $F^{\prime \prime}>0$,
- and the third derivatives are bounded by some value $M$

We can then show that the error of successive iterates $e_{k}=x^{*}-x_{k}$ are related as

$$
e_{k+1}=e_{k}^{2} \frac{F^{\prime \prime \prime}}{F^{\prime \prime}}
$$

i.e. the error reduces quadratically with each iteration.

Newton's method has quadratic convergence

Newton's method: univariate tank example

| iter | $x$ | $f^{\prime \prime}$ | $f^{\prime}$ | $f$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1, | 1.0000 | $42.000000 ;$ | -18.000000 | 21.000000 |
| 2, | 1.4286 | $15.720000 ;$ | -6.942857 | 16.040816 |
| 3, | 1.8702 | $8.114707 ;$ | -1.977493 | 14.191634 |
| 4, | 2.1139 | $6.234415 ;$ | -0.247768 | 13.929753 |
| 5, | 2.1537 | $6.004299 ;$ | -0.004629 | 13.924768 |
| 6, | 2.1544 | $6.000002 ;$ | -0.000002 | 13.924767 |
| 7, | 2.1544 | $6.000000 ;$ | -0.000000 | 13.924767 |

## Difficulties with Newton's method

- What if $F^{\prime \prime}<0$ ? Newton's method will happily converge to a maximum. All it is concerned about is to reduce the gradient, not to maximise the second derivative.
- What if $h$ is so large that $F_{k+1}^{\prime \prime}>0$ ? Newton's method may recover in the next step, but large steps may lead outside of the validity of $F$.
- What if $F^{\prime \prime}=0$ ? Division by zero! Will occur for a linear univariate function or a saddlepoint in multivariate functions.
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## Example of unstable Newton's method

Minimise $F(x)$ varying $x$ :

$$
\min _{x} F(x)=x^{3}-3 x^{2}
$$



## Example of unstable Newton's method

Minimise $F(x)$ varying $x$ :

$$
\min _{x} F(x)=x^{3}-3 x^{2}
$$

The second derivative is $F^{\prime \prime}(x)=6 x-6$. A starting value of $x_{1}=1$ leads to division by zero:

| iter | $x$ | $f^{\prime \prime}$ | $f^{\prime}$ | $f$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| warning: division by zero |  |  |  |  |
| 1, | 1.0000 | $0.000000 ;$ | -3.000000 | -2.000000 |
| 2, | $\operatorname{lnf}$ | $\operatorname{Inf} ;$ | NaN | NaN |

(Inf stands for "infinity",
NaN stands for "not a number", resulting from the division by zero.)

Cubic function: alternate starting value

Starting with $x_{1}=1.1$ to the right of the inflexion point we find the minimum:

| iter | $x$ | $f^{\prime \prime}$ | $f^{\prime}$ | $f$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1, | 1.1000 | $0.600000 ;$ | -2.970000 | -2.299000 |
| 2, | 6.0500 | $30.300000 ;$ | 73.507500 | 111.637625 |
| 3, | 3.6240 | $15.744059 ;$ | 17.656284 | 8.195401 |
| 4, | 2.5026 | $9.015318 ;$ | 3.772997 | -3.115397 |
| 5, | 2.0840 | $6.504261 ;$ | 0.525451 | -3.978216 |
| 6, | 2.0033 | $6.019547 ;$ | 0.019579 | -3.999968 |
| 7, | 2.0000 | $6.000032 ;$ | 0.000032 | -4.000000 |
| 8, | 2.0000 | $6.000000 ;$ | 0.000000 | -4.000000 |
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## Cubic function: alterate starting value

Starting with $x_{1}=0.9$ to the left of the inflexion point we find a maximum:

| iter | $x$ | $f^{\prime \prime}$ | $f^{\prime}$ | $f$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1, | 0.9000 | $-0.600000 ;$ | -2.970000 | -1.701000 |
| 2, | -4.0500 | $-30.300000 ;$ | 73.507500 | -115.637625 |
| 3, | -1.6240 | $-15.744059 ;$ | 17.656284 | -12.195401 |
| 4, | -0.5026 | $-9.015318 ;$ | 3.772997 | -0.884603 |
| 5, | -0.0840 | $-6.504261 ;$ | 0.525451 | -0.021784 |
| 6, | -0.0033 | $-6.019547 ;$ | 0.019579 | -0.000032 |
| 7, | -0.0000 | $-6.000032 ;$ | 0.000032 | -0.000000 |
| 8, | -0.0000 | $-6.000000 ;$ | 0.000000 | -0.000000 |

## Safeguarding Newton's method

- revert to a simpler method, e.g. secant, if $F^{\prime \prime}=0$.
- limit the stepwidth $h$ to ensure $F_{k}<F_{k-1}$
- revert to a simpler method, e.g. secant, if $F^{\prime \prime}=0$.
set $a<x_{1}<b$, compute $F_{1}, F_{1}^{\prime}, F_{1}^{\prime \prime}$, set $k=1$
while $\left|F_{k}^{\prime}\right| \geq \varepsilon$
if $F^{\prime \prime}>0$ then
set $\delta x=-F_{k}^{\prime} / F_{k}^{\prime \prime}$
else
set $\delta x=-F_{k}^{\prime} \quad$ ! note: $F^{\prime \prime}$ not usable, guess step length $\alpha \delta x$
endif
if $\delta x<0$ then $\quad \alpha=\min \left(1,\left(a-x_{k}\right) / \delta x\right)$
else $\quad \alpha=\min \left(1,\left(b-x_{k}\right) / \delta x\right)$
end if
while $F\left(x_{k}+\alpha \delta x\right)>F_{k}$
$\alpha=\alpha / 2$
end while
set $x_{k+1}=x_{k}+\alpha \delta x$, compute $F_{k+1}, F_{k+1}^{\prime}, F_{k+1}^{\prime \prime}$, set $k=k+1$
end while


## Summary of safeguarded Newton's method

- If we have a positive second derivative $F^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k}\right)>0$ then we can use that rate of change of the derivative to estimate a new value for the control variable $x_{k+1}$ to find $F^{\prime}\left(x_{k+1}\right)=0$.
- If not, we need to improvise:
- The secant method, as introduced earlier, used a bracketed interval and we had gradient values at either end $x_{a}, x_{b}$ to find $x_{k+1}$ to find $F^{\prime}\left(x_{k+1}\right)=0$ using linear interpolation of $F^{\prime}$.
- With Newton's method we'd rather avoid computing the interval end gradients, so if $F^{\prime \prime}$ is not usable, we only have $F, F^{\prime}$, which does not allow to approximate the step length to find $f^{\prime}=0$.
- Typically methods start with a 'unit' step, whatever the user defines that to be.
- The method of adjusting the step (inner while loop in the algorithm) is not very good. Better methods for finding a good step will be introduced later.
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## Outline
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## Organisation of the lectures

1. Univariate optimisation

- Bisection
- Steepest Descent
- Newton's method

2. Multivariate optimisation

- Steepest descent and line-search methods:
- Wolfe and Armijo conditions,
- Newton's method, Trust-region methods,
- Conjugate Gradient, Truncated Newton's, Quasi-Newton methods,

3. Constrained Optimisation:

- Projected gradient methods,
- Penalty methods,
- Exterior and interior point methods, SQP

4. Adjoint methods

- Reversing time
- Automatic Differentiation
- Adjoint CFD codes
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